

Re:imagine People's Panel Meeting Minutes

Meeting Two: 12 May 2018, 8:30am – 10:30am Gold Creek Country Club

Attendees

Amanda Whitley (Chair)

Lisa Thorburn
Anne Emms
John Baker
Chris Thomas
Mark De Bortoli
Helen Wilks
Alec Campbell
Kim Pickering
Karen Reid
Steve Brown
Amanda Kiley

Damian Tunney
Ross Stevens
Sandra Elliot
Kent Donally
Brett Adam
David Thorne
Ed Killesteyn
Rhonda Daniell
Liz Jaques

Luke Hadfield - Gungahlin Community

Council

Apologies

Tim Napper Jeffrey Harmer

Allycia Knox Joanne Brown Tara Nichols Mark Wilkins David George John Miller

Helen Leayr (The Communication Link) April McFadden (Secretariat)

Papers distributed prior to meeting

- Agenda meeting #2
- Further Information Workshop Guide

1. Welcome

The Chair, Amanda Whitley, welcomed the members of the Peoples Panel to the meeting. Apologies were noted.

The Chair invited those members who were unable to attend the previous meeting to introduce themselves and to share their interest in being a part of the re:imagine People's Panel.



The input provided in these introductions was:

- One member was officially representing their body corporate and shared concern regarding future property values as their property adjoins the Golf Course. They do not want to 'be built out', so do not wish for any development to be built in front of their property.
- Another member was interested in having a transparent process and was 'here to listen'.
- The Gungahlin Community Council member will take feedback from this meeting to the next executive meeting to see if the Council needs to form an official opinion on the re:imagine project.

It was noted that a representative of Cockington Green has agreed to join the Panel, but was unavailable for this meeting.

2. Review of Draft Minutes from Meeting One

The previous Meeting Minutes were endorsed by Brett Adam and were seconded by John Baker. The recommendation was made that both the Communique and the Minutes be posted as public documents and be read together.

3. ACTPLA Presentation

Ms Helen Leayr confirmed that a representative from ACTPLA was unavailable to attend this meeting but had suggested that a mid-week meeting would be a more convenient time for them to meet with the panel. The suggestion was made that Ms Leayr source a suitable time for ACTPLA and as many panel members as possible attend. It was also suggested that the meeting be filmed for later review by members unable to attend.

4. Workshop

Ms Leayr recorded a number of questions posed by the Panel on butcher's paper (these will be attached to the minutes once finalised). Additional comments made during this process included:

- The Panel need an element of trust and wish the KGroup to disclose any preliminary conversations they, or their representatives, has had with the Government or members of the Assembly regarding rezoning/development of the GCCC.
- The need to categorise the questions and group together similarities.
- The need to determine who each of these questions would be put to: KGroup, Troon, ACTPLA etc.
- It was suggested that if the owners of the GCCC wish to develop the portion of the golf course close to homes, they would be met with at least one thousand objections.
- Others opposed the idea of simply saying that the GCCC could only stay as a golf course; it was suggested it would be more advantageous to provide some concrete plans/suggestions to the KGroup around what would be deemed acceptable.



- The Chair acknowledged that although the majority of the panel wants to retain the golf course in its current format, some members do want something else developed on the site, within existing lease conditions, such as amenities for young people in the community.
- The panel discussed working with the KGroup on developing the commercial spaces they are already entitled to within their current lease.
- It was proposed that the Panel's feedback to the KGroup will need to reflect the objective factors taken into account by the government when assessing any planning or development application.
- Members of the panel suggested the Panel look at 'what could be acceptable' to the community with regards to development of the GCCC.
- The Panel wished for the KGroup to be provided with the question,s and an overview of the information they are hoping to receive from them, in a non 'antagonistic' way, noting that the Panel is are 'interested in hearing from the KGroup'.

5. Other matters

The panel reviewed the CNRGY groups principles and confirmed that these are the principles of the CNRGY group only, not of the panel.

The additional questions posed by the CNRGY group were added to those captured by the panel.

Ms Leayr reviewed all the questions with the Panel and committed to send around a draft write-up of the questions for review by the Panel before they were finalised.

6. Confirm actions and next steps

Ms Leayr to take away the questions, categorise them, and write them up in a coherent manner.

These questions will then be reviewed by the panel before being finalised and determined who would be the most appropriate person to answer each of these questions.

Ms Leayr to invite the following to meet with the panel to provide responses to the questions: ACTPLA, Troon and the KGroup.

Draft Communique

Ms Leayr confirmed the communique would reflect the mood of the meeting and an overview of the areas the questions covered, rather than the specific questions asked.

7. Meeting Close

Ms Whitley closed the meeting at 10:40am

The next People's Panel meeting with be held on Saturday 2 June, commencing at 8:30am